CONTROVERSES ET ACTUALITES EN CHIRURGIE VASCULAIRE

CONTROVERSIES & UPDATES IN VASCULAR SURGERY

JANUARY 23-25 2020

MARRIOTT RIVE GAUCHE & CONFERENCE CENTER | PARIS | FRANCE WWW.CACVS.ORG



DUPLEX PRE OPERATIVE MAPPING

APPLICABILITY OF PERCUTANEOUS AVF CREATION BASED ON A PROSPECTIVE ULTRASOUND EVALUATION

> G.FRANCO CLINIQUE ARAGO PARIS

Disclosure

Speaker name: G. FRANCO

□ I have the following potential conflicts of interest to report:

Consulting

- □ Employment in industry
- Shareholder in a healthcare company
- Owner of a healthcare company

□ Other(s)

I do not have any potential conflict of interest

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

- AVF at wrist is the first option for vascular access creation (KDOQI, EBPG) despite: High early thrombosis and non-maturation rate ranging from 5 to 50%
- PERFORATING VEIN AT CUBITAL FOSSA
 Valuable resource for the creation of a vascular access
 Surprisingly it doesn't take any place in the recommendations of AVF creation

WHEREAS

Easy to perform surgically or now better PERCUTANEOUSLY
 Allows future construction of AVF using the predilated veins if necessary: CV-BV -BR VEINS







AVF CREATION



Percutaneous AVF creation with ELLIPSIS[®] vascular access system

between

deep communicating vein (DCV) and proximal radial artery (PRA) Needs to meet specific anatomic criteria

VASCULAR MAPPING

> The specific study of the deep communicating vein(DCV) at the elbow is not part of the

USUAL VASCULAR MAPPING

Except when it is the only drainage of the veins of the forearm

> BUT NOW

> The advent of percutaneous AVF creation

> MAKES THIS STUDY MANDATORY

P.AVF or SURGICAL AVF CREATION

Knowledge of the venous arrangements of the cubital fossa may imply better outcomes and lower complications rates of the procedure

SPECIFIC US INVESTIGATION

THE ASSESSMENT BEFORE PERCUTANEOUS AV FISTULA IS FOCUSED ON THE ANTECUBITAL FOSSA.

SPECIFIC EXAMINATION INCLUDES

- ➢ -DCV WALL QUALITY & Ø
- **-DISTRIBUTION OF VENOUS M**
- -PATENCY of CV/BV
- > -RELATIONSHIP WITH THE PRA
- **QUALITY OF PRA WALL and Ø**
- -PATENCY OF BRACHIAL VEINS



- SPONTANEOUS DRAINAGE FLOW
- TOURNIQUET ABOVE THE ELBOW AND PROXIMAL
- DRAINAGE TEST BELOW THE TOURNIQUET

PROXIMAL RADIAL ARTERY(PRA)



Proximal radial artery is about 30% bigger than distal radial artery . Even if the wall is thickened it remains most of the time free of calcification at the origin facilitating puncture

LOCALISATION OF THE PERFORATING VEINS OF THE UPPER EXTREMITY



ten Berge, M.G. et al.Perforating Veins. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2011

PATTERN TYPES OF SUPERFICIAL CUBITAL VEINS

Venous arrangement shows regional, side and gender differences among populations



CV = cephalic vein; BV = basilic vein; MAV = median antebrachial vein; MCuV = median antecubital vein; MBV = median basilic vein; MCV = median cephalic vein; ACV= accessory cephalic vein

DCV AND DISTRIBUTION OF SUPERFICIAL VEINS

Many anatomical variation exist that must be investigated and reported on the shema Some are less favorable to the creation and development of the AVF such as these which are eccentric with incomplete or rudimentary venous disposition

The "M" of the elbow veins

- •3 parallel branches + V
- medially: the basilic vein
- laterally: the cephalic vein
- middle: the median cubital vein

Capital "V": •medially: the median basilic vein

laterally: the median cephalic
Perforator vein at the point of the V

•DOWNSTREAM ? •CRANIO-CAUDAL OBLIQUE









DUPLEX /PHLEBOGRAPHY



Duplex easy to perform provides all necessary information often on a single scan as on this longitudinal plane Of easier understanding contrary to invasive and expensive investigations

DCV VALVELESS VEIN

BEFORE AVF CREATION SPONTANEOUS FLOW DIRECTION: from deep to superficial vein BIDIRECTIONAL FLOW OBTAINED BY COMPRESSION



Testut, Jacob. 1952 Testut, Latarjet .1958 Gardner. 1978 Goss.1977, Latarjet, Liard.1993, Moore .2014

ROLLOVER VALVE LEAFLET





US-ANATOMY of ANTECUBITAL FOSSA

Longitunal duplex-scan of antecubital fossa:Relationship between (1)RA -PV (2)and vena comitans (3)



Radial artery and DCV are close to each other



Junction of the veins and distribution of different ascending blood streams are displayed thanks to rock and roll maneuver, slight lateral motion of the probe

HIGH BIFURCATION OF BRACHIAL ARTERY

High bifurcation of brachial artery can cause problems because the distance between the vein and the artery can be too important to safe percutaneous creation



- Hamon M, McFadden E. In Transradial Approach for Cardiovascular Interventions. 2nd edition. Chapter 1. Blood supply to the upper limb: Normal Anatomy. Hamon M and McFadden E, ed. ESM, Paris; 2010.
- Schwalbe, 1898; Breme, 1899; Muller, 1903; Adachi, 1928; Skopakoff, 1959; Wankoff, 1962; Fuss 1985



BILATERAL HIGH BIFURCATION :DISTANCE > 3 mm



MATERIALS and METHODS

A FIRST PROSPECTIVE STUDY OF 100 NAIVE CONSECUTIVE PATIENTS REFERRED TO THE VASCULAR LAB PRIOR TO FIRST AVF CREATION WAS CARRIED OUT TO ASSESS THE FEASIBILITY OF P.AVF CREATION

- **100 PATIENTS**
- 67 men
- 33 women
- Mean age:61 years (range 21-87 SD 20,8)



ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

DEEP COMMUNICATING VEIN

NORMAL VENOUS WALL

DIAMETER ≥ 2.0 mm

DIRECT AND COMPLETE DRAINAGE TOWARD AT LEAST ONE SUPERFICIAL VEIN AT THE ARM

DEPTH < 1 cm

ARTERY

PROXIMAL RADIAL ARTERY WITH NORMAL WALL OR AT LEAST FREE OF CALCIFICATION DIAMETER ≥ 2.0 mm

ANATOMICAL VARIATION ARE MENTIONED

ARTERIAL-VENOUS DISTANCE ≤ 1.5 mm BDP and DBI WERE RECORDED IF ANY CONCERN ABOUT ISCHEMIC RISK

RADIAL ARTERY DIAMETER



In 69 % of the limbs : proximal radial artery (PRA) has a diameter ≥ 2 mm suitable to P .AVF CREATION In 31 % of the limbs : radial artery (PRA) has a diameter < 2 mm

DCV DIAMETER



In 84% of the limbs DCV diameter was ≥ 2 mm

DISTANCE beetwen RA and DCV



In 88% of the limbs distance between PRA and DCV was ≤ 1.5 mm

RESULTS/LIMBS

ELIGIBILITY

INEGIBILITY

100 limbs (50%)

- $PRA \ge 2mm$
- $DCV > \ge 2 mm$
- A-V Distance ≤ 1,5 mm

37 patients (37%)

• 62 limbs (31%):PRA< 2mm→



- 32 limbs (16%): DCV < 2mm
- 24 limbs (12%) : distance > 1,5 mm



RESULTS/PATIENTS

ELIGIBILITY

37 (37%)

BILATERAL

63 patients (63%)

- **PRA** ≥ 2mm
- DCV \geq 2 mm
- A-V Distance ≤ 1,5 mm
- MCV or MBV at least

INEGIBILITY

- 37 patients (37%)
- 15 patients (15%):no superficial veins
- 14 patients (14%): too small vessels
- 8 patients (8%) : distance > 1,5 mm



26 (26%) UNILATERAL

ANATOMICAL VARIATIONS

PITFALLS RELATED TO ARTERIAL ANATOMICAL VARIATIONS

ANATOMICAL VARIATIONS					
PATIENT	UNILATERAL	BILATERAL	LIMBS	HBRA	HBUA
26 26%	19 19%	7 7%	33 (16.5%)	31 (15.5%)	2 (1%)

Frequency of anatomical variations by patient and limbs HBRA:High birth of radial artery HBUA:High birth of ulnarlartery



CONCERN ABOUT P.AVF CREATION ?

Suitable vessels for a SUCCESSFULL distal AVF creation were found in 91 extremities (45%)

BUT

ONLY IN 17% in patients over 70 years old

Among the 100 limbs eligible for percutaneous arteriovenous fistula, only 30 (30%) were eligible for distal AVF

it is therefore more than ever necessary to set up multidisciplinary concertation meetings in order to avoid any detrimental effect





> This is the first prospective study of naives consecutive patients

> Half of the limbs in two third of the patients are eligible

Regardless of the lack of median basilic or cephalic vein:
 74% of patients would have been suitable for P.AVF creation
 underlying the need for preservation of venous capital at the elbow

However these results are less optimistic than in the retrospective study : J E Hull:87.9% feasibility (29 patients /33 limbs)

CONCLUSION II

THE STUDY OF:

Deep communicating vein

Proximal radial artery

> Relashionship between them

Should now be part of the basic assessment Prior AVF creation To discuss the possibility of P.avf



THANKS FOR

R

ATTENTION ANY OUESTIONS? NO? GREAT!

KeepCalmAndPosters.com